Family Name	Wales
Given Name	Andrew
Person ID	1285785
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Family Name	Wales
Given Name	Andrew
Person ID	1285785
Title	Our Vision
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The statement mentions stress free journeys for all, but it will definitely add more traffic to already congested roads.
	The statement suggests affordable homes for all, this is unclear as the homes planned for Rochdale are described as executive, this would suggest out of reach for some affordability.
	The statement also highlights learning for young children, how can this be with little plans for further education establishments and or other services such as doctors practices etc.
	There are also suggestions that plans will improve natural environments and access to green spaces; this cannot be possible if green space is being destroyed in the first place.
	All the above will not add or promote to health and well-being of communities.
Family Name	Wales
Given Name	Andrew
Person ID	1285785
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Туре	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives,	 Meet our housing need Create neighbourhoods of choice Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity
please tick which of	7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral
these objectives your	8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces
written comment refers to:	9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure
	10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Wales
Given Name	Andrew
Person ID	1285785
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The statement mentions stress free journeys for all, but it will definitely add more traffic to already congested roads. The statement suggests affordable homes for all, this is unclear as the homes planned for Rochdale are described as executive, this would suggest out of
	reach for some affordability. The statement also highlights learning for young children, how can this be with little plans for further education establishments and or other services such as doctors practices etc.
	There are also suggestions that plans will improve natural environments and access to green spaces; this cannot be possible if green space is being destroyed in the first place.
	All the above will not add or promote to health and well-being of communities.
Family Name	Wales
Given Name	Andrew
Person ID	1285785
Title	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound

	Places for Everyone Representation 2021
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The statement mentions stress free journeys for all, but it will definitely add more traffic to already congested roads.
	The statement suggests affordable homes for all, this is unclear as the homes planned for Rochdale are described as executive, this would suggest out of reach for some affordability.
	The statement also highlights learning for young children, how can this be with little plans for further education establishments and or other services such as doctors practices etc.
	There are also suggestions that plans will improve natural environments and access to green spaces; this cannot be possible if green space is being destroyed in the first place.
	All the above will not add or promote to health and well-being of communities.
Family Name	Wales
Given Name	Andrew
Person ID	1285785
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The statement mentions stress free journeys for all, but it will definitely add more traffic to already congested roads. Making roads a one way system will not control amounts of additional traffic on roads within the area and commuting within and around the surrounding areas.
	The statement suggests affordable homes for all, this is unclear as the homes planned for Rochdale are described as executive, this would suggest out of reach for some affordability.
	The statement also highlights learning for young children, how can this be with little plans for further education establishments and or other services such as doctors practices etc.
	There are also suggestions that plans will improve natural environments and access to green spaces; this cannot be possible if green space is being destroyed in the first place.

All the above will not add or promote to health and well-being of communities.